

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 SUZANNE JENNINGS(S),

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 WELLS FARGO, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.
13

No. C17-406RSL

ORDER DENYING MOTION
FOR RECONSIDERATION

14 This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the
15 Court's order dismissing all claims against Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and Mortgage Electronic
16 Registration Systems. Dkt. # 17. Motions for reconsideration are disfavored in this district and
17 will be granted only upon a "showing of manifest error in the prior ruling" or "new facts or legal
18 authority which could not have been brought to [the Court's] attention earlier with reasonable
19 diligence." LCR 7(h)(1). Plaintiff has not met this burden. Rather, plaintiff appears to argue
20 that her TILA rescission letter deprived this Court of jurisdiction. Plaintiff does not address the
21 grounds for the Court's order granting the defendants' motion to dismiss, namely, that plaintiff's
22 claims – including the TILA claim on which plaintiff now relies – are time-barred and
23 insufficiently pled. Accordingly, plaintiff has not shown manifest error or the existence of new
24 facts or legal authority justifying reconsideration of the Court's order.
25
26

ORDER DENYING MOTION
FOR RECONSIDERATION

1 For all of the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (Dkt. # 17) is
2 DENIED.

3
4 SO ORDERED this 11th day of May, 2017.

5
6 

7 Robert S. Lasnik
8 United States District Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26